Center Partners

University of Louisville

University of Minnesota

Cornell University

Clemson University

Association for Career and Technical Education (ACTE)

National Association of State Directors of Career Technical Education Consortium (NASDCTEc)

Southern Regional Education Board (SREB)

National Occupational
Competency Testing
Institute
(NOCTI)

Academy for Educational Development (AED)

A Cross-State Comparison of Postsecondary CTE Student Graduation Rates and Completions: Determining the Efficacy of Using IPEDS Data for Perkins Reporting

Pradeep Kotamraju, Deputy Director

National Association of Career and Technical Education Information Annual Conference St. Louis Missouri May 11-13, 2010

The contents in this presentation do not necessarily represent the positions or policies of the Office of Vocational and Adult Education or the U.S. Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.

Regression 1: Estimating Graduation Rates

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
	.851	.724	.679	6.3303

Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Regression	3887.925	6	647.987	16.170	.000
Residual	1482.697	37	40.073		
Total	5370.622	43			

Model	Unstandardiz ed Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	В	Std. Error	Beta		
(Constant)	-8.685	25.661		338	.737
AvgRetRate0507FT	.854	.297	.311	2.875	.007
AvgRetRate0507PT	.553	.274	.225	2.019	.051
AvgFinAid0507	.254	.077	.323	3.313	.002
AvgUnEmp0507	-3.035	1.147	261	-2.645	.012
AvgFTWomInFT	788	.295	286	-2.673	.011
AvgFTMinInFT	133	.072	191	-1.837	.074

Residuals Statisticsa

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Predicted Value	7.153	53.979	22.145	9.5088	44
Residual	-9.4105	17.9549	.0000	5.8721	44
Std. Predicted Value	-1.577	3.348	.000	1.000	44
Std. Residual	-1.487	2.836	.000	.928	44

Regression 2: Estimated Completions

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.755	.570	.538	28.915

Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Regression	44403.239	3	14801.080	17.703	.000
Residual	33442.670	40	836.067		
Total	77845.909	43			

Model	Unstand Coeffi		Standardized Coefficients		
	B Std. Error		Beta	t	Sig.
(Constant)	64.966	21.423		3.032	.004
PRE_GRADRATE	3.056	.468	.683	6.531	.000
CCCentGov	23.171	10.683	.231	2.169	.036
NEI_2008	721	.308	250	-2.341	.024

Simulating Graduation Rates and Completions

						State	State	State	State
						Contribution to	Contribution	Contribution	Contribution to
						National	to National	to National	National
						Community	Community	Community	Community
						College	College	College	College
		Graduation	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated	Completions	Completions	Completions	Completions
		Rate 2004	Graduation	Graduation	Graduation	Per 1,000	Per 1,000	Per 1,000	Per 1,000
State	StateName	Cohort ⁰	Rate ¹	Rate ²	Rate ³	Enrollment ⁰	Enrollment ¹	Enrollment ²	Enrollment ³
AL	Alabama	24.8%	22.5%	52.3%	52.3%	114	120	117	110
AR	Arkansas	15.2%	8.4%	41.9%	41.9%	86	88	90	104
ΑZ	Arizona	17.0%	13.0%	44.0%	44.0%	74	79	77	181
CA	California	20.6%	13.6%	49.7%	49.7%	74	68	82	225
CO	Colorado	18.2%	13.2%	45.3%	45.3%	93	97	96	235
CT	Connecticut	12.2%	7.1%	39.1%	39.1%	71	77	75	251
FL	Florida	31.2%	28.2%	61.1%	61.1%	118	117	125	173
GA	Georgia	19.5%	13.7%	47.0%	47.0%	81	80	85	181
HI	Hawaii	14.4%	15.3%	40.7%	40.7%	75	94	77	143
IA	lowa	32.4%	29.4%	61.7%	61.7%	132	130	136	135
IL	Illinois	16.9%	9.7%	44.4%	44.4%	95	94	99	213
IN	Indiana	16.3%	9.7%	42.6%	42.6%	81	81	83	140
KS	Kansas	32.5%	27.6%	61.5%	61.5%	126	120	130	159
KY	Kentucky	23.2%	14.4%	52.5%	52.5%	129	123	137	147
LA	Louisiana	7.2%	1.2%	32.0%	32.0%	55	62	55	135
US^4		22.1%	17.1%	50.0%	50.0%	4270	4269	4445	7719

0: No change in independent variables

^{1:} Unemployment Rate Doubles; No other independent variable changes

^{2:} Retention Rate FT increases by in Rate FT increase by 33%; Retention Rate PT by 10%; Percent student receiving Financial Aid by 10%; Percent First-time minority students in all first-time students increase by 10%

^{3:} Retention Rate FT increases by in Rate FT increase by 33%; Retention Rate PT by 10%; Percent student receiving Financial Aid by 10%; Percent First-time minority students in all first-time students increase by 10%; New Economy Index level raised from current level (57) to 62.5, an increase of 10%.

^{4:} The graduation rate value is an average of all 44 states; the completion value is a sum over 44,000 enrollees, 1,000 for each state.

Simulating Graduation Rates and Completions

						State	State	State	State
						Contribution to	Contribution	Contribution	Contribution to
						National	to National	to National	National
						Community	Community	Community	Community
						College	College	College	College
		Graduation	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated	Completions	Completions	Completions	Completions
		Rate 2004	Graduation	Graduation	Graduation	Per 1,000	Per 1,000	Per 1,000	Per 1,000
State	StateName	Cohort ⁰	Rate ¹	Rate ²	Rate ³	Enrollment ⁰	Enrollment ¹	Enrollment ²	Enrollment ³
MA	Massachusetts	14.6%	9.0%	41.7%	41.7%	40	39	43	291
MD	Maryland	20.2%	17.3%	48.2%	48.2%	69	73	74	242
ME	Maine	33.3%	27.7%	62.2%	62.2%	151	145	154	185
MI	Michigan	16.5%	4.4%	45.2%	45.2%	71	56	79	187
MN	Minnesota	29.6%	25.1%	57.6%	57.6%	131	129	133	221
MO	Missouri	20.5%	13.6%	48.5%	48.5%	94	91	99	140
MS	Mississippi	12.0%	-0.2%	40.5%	40.5%	80	71	89	91
MT	Montana	28.7%	26.8%	55.9%	55.9%	120	123	120	137
NC	North Carolina	13.8%	7.7%	41.0%	41.0%	89	94	94	193
ND	North Dakota	47.3%	46.4%	76.6%	76.6%	176	170	176	140
NE	Nebraska	24.7%	23.4%	52.0%	52.0%	101	108	103	165
NH	New Hampshire	27.9%	25.6%	55.2%	55.2%	125	129	125	226
NJ	New Jersey	21.6%	16.6%	50.3%	50.3%	76	74	82	231
NM	New Mexico	12.7%	8.3%	37.8%	37.8%	66	73	65	159
NY	New York	28.8%	23.2%	58.0%	58.0%	100	92	105	223
US^4		22.1%	17.1%	50.0%	50.0%	4270	4269	4445	7719

0: No change in independent variables

- 1: Unemployment Rate Doubles; No other independent variable changes
- 2: Retention Rate FT increases by in Rate FT increase by 33%; Retention Rate PT by 10%; Percent student receiving Financial Aid by 10%; Percent First-time minority students in all first-time students increase by 10%
- 3: Retention Rate FT increases by in Rate FT increase by 33%; Retention Rate PT by 10%; Percent student receiving Financial Aid by 10%; Percent First-time minority students in all first-time students increase by 10%; New Economy Index level raised from current level (57) to 62.5, an increase of 10%.
- 4: The graduation rate value is an average of all 44 states; the completion value is a sum over 44,000 enrollees, 1,000 for each state.

Simulating Graduation Rates and Completions

						State	State	State	State
						Contribution to	Contribution	Contribution	Contribution to
						National	to National	to National	National
						Community	Community	Community	Community
						College	College	College	College
		Graduation	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated	Completions	Completions	Completions	Completions
		Rate 2004	Graduation	Graduation	Graduation	Per 1,000	Per 1,000	Per 1,000	Per 1,000
State	StateName	Cohort ⁰	Rate ¹	Rate ²	Rate ³	Enrollment ⁰	Enrollment ¹	Enrollment ²	Enrollment ³
ОН	Ohio	22.9%	14.8%	51.3%	51.3%	97	89	102	159
OK	Oklahoma	12.2%	8.3%	38.0%	38.0%	71	81	73	129
OR	Oregon	10.4%	1.9%	35.8%	35.8%	51	49	51	192
PA	Pennsylvania	19.6%	14.5%	48.0%	48.0%	82	83	89	176
SC	South Carolina	12.0%	1.9%	38.9%	38.9%	90	87	94	169
SD	South Dakota	54.0%	52.9%	85.6%	85.6%	199	189	204	129
TN	Tennessee	15.6%	8.5%	43.2%	43.2%	79	78	84	140
TX	Texas	17.3%	11.2%	44.4%	44.4%	73	73	77	187
UT	Utah	30.3%	28.9%	58.3%	58.3%	109	111	110	203
VA	Virginia	21.1%	19.9%	48.4%	48.4%	75	82	77	228
WA	Washington	19.7%	13.1%	47.8%	47.8%	89	87	94	270
WI	Wisconsin	34.4%	29.0%	65.0%	65.0%	134	125	141	154
WV	West Virginia	19.4%	14.3%	46.9%	46.9%	101	104	105	96
WY	Wyoming	31.6%	30.2%	61.0%	61.0%	133	136	138	121
US^4		22.1%	17.1%	50.0%	50.0%	4270	4269	4445	7719

0: No change in independent variables

- 1: Unemployment Rate Doubles; No other independent variable changes
- 2: Retention Rate FT increases by in Rate FT increase by 33%; Retention Rate PT by 10%; Percent student receiving Financial Aid by 10%; Percent First-time minority students in all first-time students increase by 10%
- 3: Retention Rate FT increases by in Rate FT increase by 33%; Retention Rate PT by 10%; Percent student receiving Financial Aid by 10%; Percent First-time minority students in all first-time students increase by 10%; New Economy Index level raised from current level (57) to 62.5, an increase of 10%.
- 4: Retention Rate FT increases by in Rate FT increase by 33%; Retention Rate PT by 10%; Percent student receiving Financial Aid by 10%; Percent First-time minority students in all first-time students increase by 10%; New Economy Index level raised from current level (57) to 62.5, an increase of 10%.

Kotamraju Current University of Louisville NACTEI Annual Conference May 12, 2010

Enrollment Projections and Completion Simulations

Year	Value	Enrollment_H						
					CC Enrollment	Estimated	2005-2007	
				Graduation	2020	Completions Per	Completions Per	CC
				Rates	('000s)	44,000 of	100,000 ENR	Completions ⁴
200	5 (15,184				Enrollment ⁴		
200	7 :	15,414						
200	3 2	15,657	Input	22.1%	9,097	4,270	9,705	882,823
200	9 3	15,876	Changes ⁰	22.1/0	3,037	4,270	9,703	002,023
201) 4	16,083						
201	1 5	16,296						
201	2 (16,513	Input	17.1%	9,097	4,269	9,703	882,707
201	3 7	7 16,747	Changes ¹	17.1/0	3,037	4,209	9,703	002,707
201	4 8	3 16,951						
201	5 9	9 17,108						
201	5 10	17,271	Input	50.0%	9,097	4,444	10,100	918,797
201	7 1:	17,452	Changes ²	30.076	3,037	7,777	10,100	310,737
2018	3 12	17,764						
2019	13	17,979						
2020	14	18,194	Input	50.0%	9,097	7,719	17,543	1,595,903
			Changes ³	30.076	3,037	7,719	17,545	1,393,903
Intercept		15184						
slope		215	0: No change	in independe	nt variables in bo	oth regressions		
•			_	•		independent variak	ole changes	
						•	Retention Rate PT b	y 10%;
					•	•	e minority students	•
					,	•	ent variables in the	
2020 CC ENR	5	9,097		n regression	, ,	0 1		
2020 CC LIVIN		3,037	•	•	ises hy in Rate FT	increase by 33%· F	Retention Rate PT b	v 10%·
					•	•	minority students	•
					•		completion value is	
			_		1,000 for each sta			

Kotamraju
University of Louisville

5: Enrollment projections are based on the high projections made by NCES

NACTEI Annual Conference May 12, 2010

References

- Bailey, Thomas R. and Vanessa Smith Morest (2004). *The Organizational Efficiency of Multiple Missions* for Community Colleges, New York: College Research Center, Teachers College, Columbia University.
- Barnett, Elisabeth A. and Bragg. (2006). Debra D. Academic pathways and Increased Opportunities for Underserved Students: Crosscutting Themes and Lessons Learned. *New Directions for Community Colleges*. 135 Fall. 101-107.
- Barton, Paul. (2008). How Many College Graduates Does the US Labor Force Really Need? *Change*. January/February.
- Carnevale, A. P., and Desrochers, D. M. (2001). *Help wanted...credentials required: College in the knowledge economy. P* rinceton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.
- Dare, Donna E. (2006) The Role of Career and Technical Education in Facilitating Student Transitions to Postsecondary Education. *New Directions for Community Colleges*. 135, Fall, 73-80.
- Dougherty, Kevin and Hong, Esther. (2006). Performance Accountability as an Imperfect Panacea: The college Experience.

 In Thomas W. Bailey and Vanessa Smith Morest (Ed.) Defending the college equity agenda (pp.51-86). Baltimore
- Hoachlander, Gary. Anna C. Sikora and Horn, Laura. Project Officer: C. Dennis Carroll. (2003). *Community College Students: Goals, Academic Preparation, and Outcomes*, NCES 2003–164, by United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. Washington, DC.
- Holzer, Harry J. and Lerman, Robert I. (2007). America's Forgotten Middle-Skills Jobs: Education And Training Requirements in the Next Decade and Beyond. The Workforce Alliance. Washington DC.
- Jenkins, Davis, (2007). Institutional effectiveness and student success: a study of high- and low-impact community colleges. *Community College Journal of Research and Practice* . 31, 945-962.
- Levin, John S. (2006). Kater, Susan and Wagoner, Richard L. College Faculty: At Work in the New Economy. Macmillan.
- Lovell, C. D., & Trouth, C. (2004). Statewide community college governance structures. In J. C. Smart (Ed.), Higher education: Handbook of theory and research (Vol. XIX). New York, NY: Springer.
- National Center for Public Policy in Higher Education (NCPPHE) (2008). Measuring Up 2008: The National Report Card on Higher Education. San Jose California. Retrieved June 29, 2009, from www.highereducation.org.
- NRCCTE. (2010) Programs of Study Joint Technical Working Group. (2010, January). Programs of study: Year 2 joint technical report. Louisville, KY: National Research Center for Career and Technical Education, University of Louisville.
- Shults, Christopher. (2008) Making the Case for a Positive Approach to Improving Organizational Performance in Higher Education Institutions. *College Review*, 36(2), 133-159.

- United States Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics. (2008). Career and Technical Education in the United States: 1990 to 2005; Statistical Analysis Report. Washington DC.
- United States Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics. (2009a). http://www.nces.ed.gov/quicktables/index.asp Retrieved January 16, 2010.
- United States Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics. (2009b). *Enrollment in Postsecondary Institutions, Fall 2007; Graduation Rates, 2001 & 2004 Cohorts; and Financial Statistics, Fiscal Year 2007; First Look*. Washington DC.
- Van Noy, Michelle, and Jacobs, James. (2009). The Outlook for Noncredit Workforce Education. *New Directions for Community College Research*, 146, Summer, 87-94.